

PRINTER RUSH

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

FFW

Application : <u>09/515806</u>	Examiner : <u>Fronty RAMIREZ</u>	GAU : <u>1652</u>
From : <u>TW</u>	Location : IDC FMF <u>(FDC)</u>	Date : <u>12-3-04</u>

Tracking #: 5962990 Week Date: 6-7-04

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 312	<u>10-28-04</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: _____

There are amendments added on 10-28-04 that are not noted or ok to enter or not. Should there be a separate claim change be entered?

Please verify Thank You

TW

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: The documents filed 10/28/04 are copies of previous amendments and should not be entered. See the transmittal page from 10/28/04 where it is stated that Applicant is sending a copy of an amendment filed 1/13/03. Also, there is no drawing inconsistency with regard to Figure 1K. As indicated by Applicants in their response to the PTO-11631 sent 10/14/04, Applicants corrected this in an amendment filed 1/13/03.

INITIALS: DR

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04